

Santa Clara Libertarian

Volume 29, Issue 7, August 2001



Libertarian Party of
Santa Clara County
P.O. Box 60171
Sunnyvale, CA
94088-0171
Tel: (408) 243-2711
<http://www.sc.ca.lp.org>

LPSCC GETS OUT MESSAGE OF FREEDOM AT SAN JOSE GUN SHOW

More Volunteers Than Ever Outreach To Best Prospects Yet - Gun Owners

Reported by Marv Rudin

On the week end of August 25th-26th, the LPSCC again manned an outreach table at the TS Gun Show at the San Jose Fair Grounds. This is nothing new - it's been a tradition for the party to do outreach at gun shows, because gun aficionados have a high propensity to be libertarian. What was different this time was that a nearly full complement of



Zander Collier & John Inks pose under Travis Jones' new LPSCC banner stand

volunteers - 5 in all (actually 6, counting your reporter, who put in a couple of hours), was on hand to assist the LPSCC gun-show outreach manager, Travis Jones for the two day affair. Just one shift - John Inks on Saturday afternoon - had less than the targeted two reps per shift. Volunteers at the two day event besides those already mentioned were Zander Collier;

Elizabeth Brierly, and Rod Woodman.



Zander & Elizabeth Brierly offering handout material to passing visitors

Inside this Issue

Pg. 2 - LTE Sunday at Stoddards
Pg. 3 - Noted Guest at Speakers Bureau Mtng
Pg. 4 - Two Libertarians Lead Bond Fight
Pg. 7 - Opinions - Winner Take All =
Pg. 8 - Application and donor form

As further positive news on the expansion of volunteerism for the LPSCC, Jason McClelland, who participated in this one, has announced that he will be taking over the gun-show manager's role for the next TS Gun Show, which is scheduled for the week end of September 29-30 at the San Jose Fair Grounds. He asks all volunteers willing to help to contact him (jasonm@adobe.com; 408.536.5156).

And there was an improvement in our table/booth equipment. Thanks to a banner holding fixture designed and constructed by Travis Jones, for the first time this year, the LPSCC banner was displayed above the table. Also an easel holding up a Nolan Chart to display results of Libertarian quizzes taken by guests was set up for the first

time this year:

When asked about their experiences, the various participants had a wide variety of observations that ranged from the experiential and informative to the constructive and insightful.

Travis said: "We witnessed an increase in volunteer participation which made all us more fresh when talking to

gun show attendees. A talkshow host, Michael Savage, on KSFO has been doing extensive radio shows calling for tougher immigration laws. This was reflected in the attendees questions. Many were concerned with immigration policy. They wanted to know how to control diseases and agreed mostly that ending the welfare

SCL News Publication Schedule:

The Santa Clara Libertarian News is published every month electronically. The printed version is published and mailed out only in March, June, September; and December. If you want the monthly news E-mailed to you each month, please send a request to the Newsletter Chair at rudin@lpty.org.

state would help. Interestingly many attendees identified themselves as Democrats. While doing the OPH quiz some attendees worried that their answers were going to be wrong, but we comforted them by saying no answers are wrong. Rod Woodman had one person score Authoritarian and couldn't figure out how to nicely say a person falls in the same category as Adolf Hitler and Joseph Stalin."

Continued on page 6

LTE SUNDAY AT STODDARDS - WRITING WITH FRIENDS

Reported by Marv Rudin

Alan Furman, Travis Jones, Kennita Watson and your reporter met at Stoddard's Sunnyvale on August 19th - the 3rd Sunday of the month - for the monthly LTE session created by Publicity Chair Zander Collier. This



Travis Jones, Kennita Watson, and Alan Furman take a break to take a picture at LTE Sunday

was the biggest turnout to date - four participants, and would have been five had not Zander been unable to attend due to an unavoidable conflicting event. With your reporter taking Zander's place and supplying the Mercury and Chronicle Sunday editions to read for reference articles on which to base LTE's, the four of us sought good subjects. Travis chose to write in protest of the San Jose Redevelopment Agency's eminent domain property grab attempt that provoked the formation of the 40 Property Owners organization with which he has been active, at the suggestion of Travis, Kennita chose to write against the San Jose Orchard School District Bond issuance known as "Measure E," and Alan Furman helped me choose an article in the Chronicle about new state laws preventing schools from giving kids behavioral drugs like Ritalin as the subject of my LTE.

Surprisingly, it took me more time to find a topic I liked than to write my LTE, because a good topic is not just one that is newsworthy, and for which there is a clear Libertarian position, but also one for which the Libertarian position would be attractive to a substantial percentage of readers. Topics like Travis' protest against government taking private property away from owners by force, Kennita's argument to vote "No" against a greatly excessive bond amount for a wasteful school administration, and my letter saying parents, not government, should decide if kids can benefit from behavioral drugs like Ritalin are all Libertarian positions that fit the above three criteria. But despite that, maybe because of it (considering the media's typical negativity toward Libertarianism), none of the LTE's we submitted got published.

The reader can judge for himself whether the quality or lack of same of two of the three LTE's accounts for not being accepted for publication (Travis' is unavailable as he submitted it without retaining a copy). Kennita's LTE to the SJ Mercury News, and mine to the SF Chronicle follow.



Four LTE writers posing for waitress and demonstrating why photos should never be taken facing into light source

To the SJ Mercury News: "I am stunned that the Orchard School District has had the nerve to put Measure E on the November 2001 ballot. As a Libertarian, I generally oppose bonds as a means of generating revenue, but it doesn't take a Libertarian to come down against this \$40 million bond measure.

The Orchard Elementary School District could serve as a poster child for government waste. The Mercury News has already reported on the Superintendent's \$79,856 BMW. Add to this travel (including overseas travel) and conference expenses 287% of the statewide average, and "other services and operating expenditures" 419% of the statewide average, and it's easy to see how the district could run out of money and want more. What's hard to see is why the residents of the district should give it to them. The district passed a \$16 million bond measure just last year; hopefully the residents will see through this attempt to slip another \$40 million bill (not including interest) under their radar during an off election year:

The district must be hoping that voter turnout will be low and that only voters who haven't been paying attention to its books will show up at the polls. In a district whose total adult population is only around 4500, a fairly modest advertising budget could easily blanket the district with pro-E propaganda. Let's hope the voters don't fall for it."

Kennita Watson

To the SF Chronicle: "It's a good thing that Minnesota and other states are banning schools and child protec-

NOTED GUEST AT AUGUST SPEAKERS BUREAU MEETING

JARRETT WOLLSTEIN SPEAKS ON "HOW TO SELL LIBERTY"

Reported by Marv Rudin

Jarret Wollstein, International Society for Individual Liberty founder; prolific author of excellent libertarian topic pamphlets, and financial editor of libertarian/conservative internet news portal Newsmax.com, spoke on "How to sell liberty" at the scheduled 2nd-Thursday-of-the-month August LPSCC Speakers Meeting at Cocos Sunnyvale. The meeting was the swan song as meeting organizer for Speakers Bureau Manager Rose Wiegley. Rose deserves much credit for carrying on this function for a year and for booking such an outstanding speaker as Mr. Wollstein. Although she still seeks someone able to lead the meetings, Rose was able to announce and introduce Tom Frederiksen as her replacement as manager of the Speakers Bureau web site (www.lpspeakers.org).



Rose Wiegley presiding at August meeting of LPSCC Speakers Bureau

Mr. Wollstein brought with him samples of brochures about liberty, selling liberty, and libertarian outreach organizing containing some very well conceived material from which his speech derived. He started by emphasizing the fact that liberty, like any great product, still needs to be sold - the world doesn't beat a path to an inventor's door no matter how great the idea. And he gave as the basic principles of selling, (1) Set realistic goals; (2) Be professional and show interest in prospects; (3) Adjust your speaking rate and style to your

prospect(s); (4) Concentrate on your best prospects, such as baby boomers, gen Xers, students, beleaguered taxpayers, small business owners, and victims of the state; (5) Offer what they want - talk benefits of interest to prospects, not features; (6) Listen more than talk to find out what interests prospects.

On outreach organizing, his emphasis was on getting people to attend Libertarian events and meetings, and for that, he said, the emphasis should be on having fun. He recounted his early libertarian days in Washington DC. He said "For several years, my Libertarian Social Club was listed by several singles groups as one of the most enjoyable and biggest in town. We regularly drew 60 to 120 people on Friday or Saturday nights, had great food, and had the best speakers in town. It didn't cost much to set up. Restaurants were glad to give us large banquet rooms free, customize buffets, and even advertise our meetings."

He recommends having regular meetings and advertising them well in advance in many ways, such as free announcement in newspapers, mentions on local TV and Radio bulletin boards, internet newsgroup postings, and wide distribution of flyers run off on a home computer:

For morale he said to recognize the accomplishments and contributions of your members in your newsletter:

For recruiting new members he recommends "sponsoring interesting and important activities" in the community, such as "speeches and debates, social action projects, and enjoyable social activities." He strongly advocated speaking to "local high schools, colleges, and community groups." And he mentioned the use of literature tables at public locations like fairs, malls, campus student unions, and post offices, and the submission of letter to the editor. In closing, Wollstein handed out samples of five different ISIL pamphlets which had the following titles: "In Pursuit of Liberty," "How to Sell Liberty," "Seven great Ways to protect Your Privacy and freedom," "Looting of America," and "Creating a Successful Libertarian Outreach Organization."

In the Q & A session that followed the talk, your reporter observed that the primary methods of selling liberty Wollstein advocates - meetings and speaking to groups - are time and energy intensive, and that they require personal interaction with prospects. I said such activities may not appeal to many libertarians, and asked if, from his marketing and sales background, he could recommend any methods that would be time-efficient and avoid the need for shy libertarians to face prospects, mentioning methods I've been fostering such as car cards and car signs. He had no comment. ■



Jarrett Wollstein



Tom Frederiksen

TWO LIBERTARIANS LEAD BOND FIGHT

Hinkle And Jones Submit Ballot Arguments and Organize Residents Against Orchard School District's Proposed \$40 Million Bond Issue

A team effort lead by Mark Hinkle and Travis Jones has produced an excellent opportunity for the LPSCC to get some good publicity while performing a good deed for San Jose taxpayers in the Orchard Elementary School District. Travis researched the school district situation and background and wrote the



Travis Jones

argument against the surprisingly large, \$40 million bond issue. Joe Dehn analyzed voter data to compile a list of LP registrants living in the district. Travis Jones enlisted Libertarian Christine Berg, a resident of the district, and she in turn found someone who lives in the district who was willing to sign the ballot argument. And Mark Hinkle worked with the Registrar's office and organized the signing and submittal of the argument document.



Mark Hinkle

Colorfully describing the opportunity, Mark Hinkle said "The more Travis and I looked at this bond issue, the better (for us) it looks. It's a 1 school elementary district with 780 students, a superintendent that makes \$133K (up from \$106K in January...nice raise!), he drives a new BMW (\$79K) paid for by the taxpayers, he takes "cultural exchange" trips to Cuba, England, and around the US. He's racked up a \$24,300 travel tab in just 16 months on the job. The school district also bought him a \$468 Cartier fountain pen and a \$318 briefcase. Did I mention that the voters last year approved a \$16 million bond issue for exactly the same reasons as this \$40 million bond issue? It gets better: The waste is so bad, even the state government is getting involved. An August 11 story in the San Jose Mercury News says that Superintendent of Public Instruction Delaine Eastin has called for a "rare audit in response to community concerns and Mercury News reports about the district's spending practices."

And there's more. Another San Jose Mercury News story from May 2 says parents were poised to launch a recall effort against the trustees because of the above mentioned expenses. The president of the Orchard Parent-Teacher Organization, Michelle Riley, whom I contacted through the SJ Mercury News reporter; Kate Folmar; tells me she'll sign our rebuttal argument. The Orchard PTO has also joined the Orchard Teachers Association in taking a "no confidence vote" in the superintendent."

Fired up by this, Chair Ray Strong said to LPSCC members: "Friends, Libertarians, TaxPayers, Here's a major coup and potential for much more, thanks to the hard work of Mark, Travis, Joe, Christine, and lots more support from the LPSCC organization. Congratulations everyone! Now the fun and work really starts. How far can we take this? Letters to the Editor? News paper coverage? Radio talk shows? 20-20? We're just getting started. Please contact me if you want to help."

Here is the text of the Libertarian-led argument against Measure E, followed by the proponents rebuttal:

No on Measure E:

"As stated by those who favor this measure, local businesses will also be taxed for this bond measure. From where will this money be taken? It will be taken from our pockets through higher prices and additional strain on local businesses in our community. Our children will benefit more from us spending this money on them rather than this wasteful bureaucracy spending it on itself. Last year alone Orchard Elementary School received \$6,672 per student. That's \$133,440 per 20-student classroom. We invite you to see where this money goes by visiting <http://www.ed-data.k12.ca.us>

More space will not improve the quality of education to our students if they do not have the current curriculum lesson books, basic school supplies, and popular student programs. There are still the same problems as in the old school site on Gish road. We need to address and fix the current problems instead of adding more space and busing in more students. We are parents and community members concerned with our children's education being neglected. Let's not reward this negligence with a \$40 million blank check. Will oversight protect us from the district wasting the millions allocated to them by the federal and state government? Shouldn't all the expenses be watched? Well, we are watching and we say No to Measure E. Involved Orchard parents, teachers, and community leaders support No on Measure E.

Travis Jones, Local Organizing Chair; LPSCC

Ray Strong, Chair, LPSCC
Tina Vigil, Past Orchard School Site Council & Safety Focus Group member
Michelle Riley, Chairperson, Orchard School Site Council.
Mark Hinkle, Member, Libertarian Of California Executive Committtee"

Proponents rebuttal to the argument against measure E:

"Do not be misled.

There are a couple of things you need to know about the people who signed the ballot argument against Measure E:

- 1. They are officers and members of the extreme California Libertarian Party.**
- 2. The Libertarian Party has submitted similar arguments against school bonds throughout California, regardless of the needs or specifics.**

Here are the facts about Measure E:

Orchard School District is ranked among the most improved in the county. By any measure, student achievement is up. Test scores are up for the 4th year in a row. Reading scores have improved 15% and math scores have improved 23% during the last 3 years. Class size has been reduced along with many other educational improvements.

This community is growing rapidly, causing enrollment to swell at Orchard School. Finding space to serve our continuously growing number of students has become increasingly difficult. We must have space in order to educate our kinds.

Now we have an opportunity to complete our school. This opportunity will allow Orchard School District to purchase land for additional classrooms next to our school. If we don't purchase this land for future Orchard School expansion, the land will inevitably give way to more housing or commercial development causing even more congestion in our neighborhood.

Measure E is essential to our school and to our children's future.

Please vote YES on Measure E."

Upon receipt of a copy of the proponents rebuttal, Mark was considering a law suit saying: "I think we should sue to change their rebuttal. I think we've got grounds to sue them based on the facts.

- 1. not everyone who signed either of our argument is a libertarian**
- 2. the name of our Party is the Libertarian Party of California, not the California Libertarian Party**
- 3. We might argue the "extreme" issue, but that's their opinion and they're welcome to it**

BTW, that they used the anti-libertarian argument indicates that they have hired a firm to promote a yes vote. We've uncovered a number of this schemes before. These firms provide "free" wink wink nod nod advise on how to pass these bonds, in exchange they become the firm hired by the school district to manage their sale. It's a way of by-passing election laws that prohibit the use of school district funds to promote the passage of bond issues. BTW, it's very likely that school district funds will be used to promote a yes vote. Look for "educational" flyers to go home with the kids. Of course, they're not printed nor paid for by district funds. Wink wink, nod nod."

Agreeing, Travis said: "I would like to challenge both their points about us all being members of the Libertarian Party and the claim that our argument is cookie cutter. I spent a lot of time along with Mark Hinkle researching this bond measure and I do not like them claiming we just cut and pasted a ballot argument. Only one sentence appears in other Libertarian arguments: "The public education system gives us two choices: take it or leave it" That's all I can remember. Is that enough to say its similar? Maybe. If anyone hasn't addressed needs its the Orchard School District. They used the same ballot argument as last year! Talk about unoriginal material." ■

Continued from page 2

tion agencies from putting children on behavioral drugs like Ritalin (Chronicle article, Aug. 19th, page A7, "Behavioral Drugs Face Legislative Battle").

But as a Libertarian I see nothing wrong with drug companies informing parents by advertising the drugs, nor with the government letting parents buy the drugs for their children if the ad information is correct. If for any reason the ad information turns out to be wrong, and the drugs do damage to any children, then of course the companies and their officials could be held liable for damages if unintentional, and criminal charges if incorrect ad information is intentional. The government should neither push behavioral drugs on children without their parents' permission, nor prohibit the parents from giving them to their children if they believe them to be beneficial. Individual freedom with responsibility, not government force or meddling, is the best

policy...

Marvin B. Rudin, Chair Emeritus, Libertarian Party of Santa Clara County"

REMINDER - LTE SUNDAY

Join in and write and submit your opinion on an issue to the SJMN. Also local community papers are now inviting opinion letters. You can meet Publicity Chair Zander Collier, and other Libertarians at Zander's monthly LTE Sunday. Next one is Sept. 16th, 3 pm - 5pm, at Stoddards in downtown Sunnyvale (Murphy&Evelyn). Zander will bring the latest papers for you to read before penning your opinion and/or giving your advice to other writers.

Despite failing to get any of our letters published this time, those attending the August LTE Sunday found it to be a positive and interesting experience. Kennita said "'Stoddards is pretty good place to socialize and write LTEs. I can get ideas from others, write quickly, and it ensures we don't all cover the same topic. Also, setting aside time for this event provides an opportunity to overcome procrastination caused by a busy life.'

Obviously, we're not going to get LTE published if we don't try. And it was an opportunity to influence a few people at Stoddards. I gave OE cards to a couple patrons, and left a few on cars in the parking lot. While being served we were questioned about Libertarianism by our waitress, a 6th grade public school teacher augmenting her income. I gave her an OE card and explained why a good teacher could do better financially in a free market education system. So it gave an opportunity to, plant a few new Libertarian seeds while having a pleasant time out among friends. ■

Continued from page 1

Elizabeth Briery was especially impressed with a tattooed attendee. She said "One visitor's upper arm was emblazoned with a tattoo that proclaimed, 'Legalize Freedom.'" She took his picture, which she said she'll make available for a future SCL News.

John Inks who covered the table on Saturday afternoon was observed: "It was generally a receptive, supportive crowd. Most were Republican because of one issue or another with the LP." I seemed to notice a number of people have seen the quiz before., saying 'Oh, I've already seen that one.' So maybe through outreach and some media coverage, the world's smallest quiz has penetrated the mainstream."

Rod Woodman, who was there Sunday afternoon, commented: I liked the experience of being at a gun show for the first time. It wasn't an event that I would have otherwise seen myself going to, but I still felt a lot of common ground with the folks there through the Second Amendment issue.

Asked if he used or develop any techniques that worked well in attracting or informing/persuading visitors, he said: "Well, the kids loved the million dollar bills, though they were shy to ask for them. Most of the informing was done off-line, as visitors took the information home with them to read later. Most of the people who stopped to chat during my shift were already Libertarians and were just saying hi. There weren't really any conversationalists or opinionated types who stopped who weren't already libertarian-leaning. If I had to guess at the number of people we gave out literature to during my shift with Travis Sunday afternoon, I'd say it was about 30. "


Jason McClelland, who covered the table on Sunday, said: "I believe we spoke to maybe 20-25 people while I was there. Many people seemed to be attracted to our table by a book that I had brought for Travis to read, "Unintended Consequences". This book is getting a lot of word of mouth in the gun community, so many people would stop by to chat about the book, and then that would lead into our beliefs. Many, many people came by to tell us that they would be Libertarians, except that we believe in open borders, then they would quote Michael Savage's "Borders, Language, Culture" speech like a mantra. That is definitely a topic that I would like to put together a good handout on. I think that there were maybe 4 or so people that were truly interested and could be potential members. I think that our booth lacked focus and direction, and that there are some changes that we can make that would make a

OPINIONS

Share your thoughts on making our party more successful and advancing the individual freedom with responsibility movement

WINNER-TAKE-ALL = GROW FAST OR DIE

As long as American politics is a winner-takes-all process, 3rd parties will be completely shut out of partisan politics. And for known Libertarians, even powerful nonpartisan offices will be very hard to win. Why? Because voters want their vote to count. Although we Libertarians see that there is little difference between the two old parties, most



Marvin B. Rudin, Editor

voters don't see it. Maybe the 25 to 40% of eligible voters who don't vote can see it, and that's why they don't vote, but most people who vote can't see it. They don't want to waste a vote that might keep the worst big party candidate out of power: This is the well known lesser of two evils syndrome that has always frustrated Libertarian candidates. With the old parties continuing to have over 40 times as many registered voters as the LP, making Libertarian electoral success highly improbable, this reluctance to vote Libertarian even though 16% of the population has a libertarian viewpoint (according to the Rasmussen LP quiz poll taken in 2000), will no doubt continue. (As evidence of this, the Rasmussen poll showed that 2% of the population both knew of the LP and had libertarian views, yet in California, less than 1/3rd of those 2% are registered Libertarian. As further evidence, those 2% with Libertarian viewpoint will throw a vote to the LP candidate for a low power office like California Secretary of State, but the percentage is much much smaller for Governor- maybe 1/2% or less.)

So is the situation going to be forever hopeless? Is there any way to change this situation, short of a miracle? Maybe not, but I think there is a slight glimmer of hope. Unfortunately it's a way not easy for Libertarians to accept. What is it? It's this: **SHORT OF A BILL GATES BANKROLLING THE PARTY, THE ONLY WAY IS GOING TO BE TO DEMONSTRATE A PRACTICAL COST-EFFECTIVE PROCESS THAT PRODUCES BOTH REAL, RAPID, AND CONSISTENT ANNUAL GROWTH OF LP REGISTRATIONS.** By "real" I mean people who score 7.7 or better on the LP quiz, and by "rapid" I mean growth of 20% or more per year; and by "consistent" I mean at least 20% average to double our numbers in four years or less. And by a practical cost-effective process, I mean less than a combination of about \$3 and 1 hour of Libertarian's time per new LP registrant. OPH booths - the only outreach growth method to date, works, but is too time intensive to do this.

At present none of the LP leaders, whether at the national, state, or local level have any program to find a way to get rapid real growth in the number of people identifying themselves as Libertarian (some states don't have party registration). They aren't even polling the new LP registrants to find out what we did to attract them. They're giving up without even studying possible methods, saying that a program to get new registrants would be impractically costly. We are living in the information revolution, yet sadly, if the party continues on its present path, the information that the LP represents their views **WILL NEVER REACH MOST OF THE 16% OF AMERICANS HAVING A LIBERTARIAN VIEWPOINT.** Sadly, our party refuses to use bulk e-mail, and other low-cost but untraditional methods to inform that 16%. Just imagine where the LP could be if that 16% were all informed, and as few as 1/3rd of them registers Libertarian. We'd be about 5% instead of 0.5% of the electorate, have 10 times the active members we now have, and the media would have to cover Libertarian candidates as "spoilers" in most races.

In summary, there are no niche markets in the American winner-take-all political game. A political movement must grow rapidly to be taken seriously, or it will die. Our party must have a well conceived, researched, tested, and managed program to achieve rapid growth, or it will not be a party but a secret philosophical society, as far as achieving its political goals is concerned. ■

Continued from page 6

larger impact."

He had no observations for this article, but during the hour and a half your reporter was with Zander manning the table on Saturday he demonstrated a very effective method of winning over people passing by. As I was showing him the use of DMV handout cards (originally designed to give to folks entering the DMV), as an offering to get the attention of passers by, when many of them stopped for just a moment to accept a card, Zander would take the opportunity to introduce himself by name and to ask for their name in return. It worked great - like a friendly neighbor meeting a new neighbor for the first time and setting up a rapport! The language on the DMV card asks recipient to return and register if he scores Libertarian on the quiz on the back side of the card. It is equally applicable at the gun show table or at any event where we have an outreach table. It's an easy way to get the LP message to those who would otherwise pass by without gaining any knowledge of the LP as a result of our presence. Gunners are high probability converts (e.g., 25% registered LP at an NRA meeting in Oct. '98), so we can't afford to miss exposing them to the Libertarian viewpoint.

Rod Woodman added a couple of further suggestions that may prove useful to new Gun Show Manager Jason McClelland for doing future gun shows:

"1) It would help if our table banner was 2-sided. As it was, the banner could be seen only by the few people in the aisle right in front of the table, since beyond that was an exterior wall of the building. But with a 2-sided banner the words "Libertarian Party" could have been seen across the entire room.

2) Also, I think it would be helpful if something on the table could easily indicate what we were doing to the passers-by. I am thinking of possibly (a) a small posterboard with a topical, 2nd Amendment, politically-pointed question on it, or (b) a general LP sign at table-level, or even (c) the generic preformed cardboard stand that holds voter registration forms, which we could decorate with LP symbols or stickers. But it seemed that too many people were trying to figure us out (what we were selling or even doing there) as they walked by, and closed themselves up to any outreach or even eye contact because they couldn't identify us. I guess I'm saying that the LP name should be very conspicuous at table-level, and not just on a banner overhead or on typewritten-sized literature." ■

Editor's Note:

Thank you for electing me newsletter chair for 2001. This year, at the end of each issue there will be an opinion section with up to two pages of member notes and letters (including yours - please email

Officers:

Table listing officers and their contact information: Chairman (Ray Strong), Vice Chairman (Joe Dehn), Secretary (Dennis Umphress), Treasurer (Jon Hugdahl), Membership (Tony Stemberger), Campaign (Scott Lieberman), Publicity (Zander Collier), Fundraising (Frank Groffie), Activities (John Webster), Newsletter (Marv Rudin), Local Organizing (Travis Jones), State ExCom Rep (Mark Hinkle), Alt ExCom Rep (Joe Dehn).

Judicial Committee Paul Rako Elizabeth Brierly Mary Gingell
paul@rako.com ElizabethB@netgate.net mtg@dehnbase.org

Had a Libertarian outreach experience? Converted someone? Write about it to the editor! email: scl@lpty.org US mail: 651 Princeton Drive, Sunnyvale, CA 94087 or call (408) 736-5626.

This is the publication of the Libertarian Party of Santa Clara County, a non-profit political organization.

THE LIBERTARIAN PARTY



of Santa Clara County

P.O. Box 60171 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-0171

Please Print:

Name: _____

Address: _____

City/State/Zip: _____

Phone: _____ E-mail: _____

The Libertarian Party is the party of principle. To assure and affirm that our party never strays from its principles, we request our members to sign the pledge below. (Non-signers cannot vote on party business).

I hereby certify that I do not believe in or advocate the initiation of force as a means of achieving political or social goals.

Signature: _____

Membership Application

- o \$25 Basic
o \$100 Sustaining
o \$250 Sponsor
o \$500 Patron
o \$1000 Life

Plus an additional contribution to the LPSCC:

- o Monthly pledge
o One-time donation

Total: _____

Payment Method:

- o Check payable to: Libertarian Party
o VISA or MasterCard or Discovery or E-Gold (circle one)

Credit Card #: _____

Expiration Date: _____

Cardholder Name: _____

Signature: _____

Input boxes for New and Renew