

BYLAWS AND RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

FEBRUARY 1, 2024

MEMBERS	ALTERNATES	GUESTS
SYLVIA ARROWWOOD	DAVE ROBERSON (A2)	JACK BLUE
PAUL BRACCO	DATA LOGAN (A5)	ADAM HAMAN
NICHOLAS CIESIELSKI	DEAN RODGERS (A6)	JJ JACOBS
CARYN ANN HARLOS		MARRION KAUFMAN
ROB LATHAM		NATHAN MADDEN
FRANK MARTIN		JESSICA TEWKSBURY
CHUCK MOULTON		
TOM ROWLETTE		
MIKE RUFO		
MIKE SEEBECK		

Meeting called to order at 9:05 PM.

PUBLIC COMMENT: MADDEN: Current Guest Attendance is under 20. Would hope for more.

HARLOS: Now into administrative section. It's still appropriate to bring new proposals if warranted.

MOULTON: Would like more time for bylaws but probably will not happen. Have too many proposals. We will make some hard choices. Might be wise to not have a lot of proposals that we will never get to. It will minimize members moving to suspend the rules to move something up. Please keep in mind as we decide what to cut.

HARLOS: These meetings will be a lot less formal than prior unless someone insists on more formal procedure which there is a right to do. Like idea of combining like proposals as BRACCO suggested. Will see if committee agrees. Want to start to narrow down. Believe now maximum proposals we should have is 18. We do not have notice requirements. If we have time left, we could have a few set aside as pinch-hitters and we could propose them. Report needs to be what we can reasonably get to and not everything. Will forward all of our proposals to the next Bylaws Committee. Will make a post-convention report of all proposals, even those that didn't make it, to next committee.

SEEBECK: If we should get through all our listed proposals, we are done. Otherwise members will think we are up to shenanigans.

HARLOS: That will be up to committee. Probably not have that problem. Best not to spend too much time speculating on it. Letting committee know there are probably some good proposals that are not going to make it due to climate and suspicion of members. They will not pass because of the culture right now. Don't take personally. Would advise MOULTON handle R as I could be viewed as way too partisan. Would suggest MOULTON is best member to propose R. That is up to committee.

MINUTES APPROVAL: January 18, 2024 minutes **APPROVED WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

HARLOS: Will discuss combos suggested by BRACCO. First MK ultra. This is extending time for platform and credentials.

DEBATE AS TO MK ULTRA.

HARLOS: Any objection as to combing these two proposals? Until we renumber, it will be MK. Some- one could move to divide from the floor but don't think they will. (No Response). **M AND K COMBINED INTO MK ULTRA ADOPTED WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

DEBATE AS TO NAA, LIMIT NUMBER OF ALTERNATES.

HARLOS: Would go 10 and then 11. Any objection to combining these two, N and AA? (No Response) Any objection to starting with 10 when we combo them? (No Response). Any objection to combine N and AA? (No Response). **COMBINE N AND AA ADOPTED WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

Any objection to NAA with order being 10 and 11 as amended? (No Response). **NAA COMBINED WITH ORDER BEING 10 THEN 11 ADOPTED WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

HARLOS: BCS is about membership. I'm not in favor but it's up to the committee. This is a change in way members are to pay dues.

DEBATE AS TO BCS BASIC MEMBERSHIP.

HARLOS: Will go to a vote. Let's deal with the 50 dollars first. Is there any objection to the increase of dues to 50 dollars being considered separately to any proposal adding the word "exclusively" or to make a single dues payment? If you want all three together vote "no". Now "exclusivity" and "single payment" are separate issue. Don't vote no because of that. If you think all three should be together then vote "no". Question is to separate "raise to 50 dollars" from the exclusively and single payment.

ARROWWOOD	YES		
BRACCO	YES		
CIESIELSKI	YES		
HARLOS	ABSTAIN		
LATHAM	YES		
MARTIN	YES		
MOULTON	YES		
ROWLETTE	YES		
RUFO	YES		
SEEBECK	YES	ADOPTED	VOTE 9-0-1

HARLOS: Question now before us is whether we separate out as a separate proposal exclusively with whatever the dues amount is in a single payment or whether we keep them together. If we have already passed the 50 dollars, it will be in there. If we haven't, it will stay 25 dollars.

DEBATE FURTHER

HARLOS: Yes Vote splits out consideration of ARTICLE 4.1 adding the word "exclusively" from the issue of ARTICLE 4.4 saying that the dues need to be in a single payment. Going to start over because even I'm confused.

DEBATE FURTHER

HARLOS: Yes Vote is to combine them. No Vote is to not combine them. We will start again.

ARROWWOOD	YES		
BRACCO	YES		
CIESIELSKI	YES		
HARLOS	NO		
LATHAM	NO		
MARTIN	NO		
MOULTON	YES		
ROWLETTE	NO		
RUFO	ABSTAIN		
SEEBECK	ABSTAIN	FAILED	VOTE 4-4-2

HARLOS: They are staying separate.

SEEBECK: E and Q. E is platform appeal and deletion. Q is preamble.

HARLOS: This was not put on list.

SEEBECK: in my documentation, they should be put together.

HARLOS: Will say -- and there can be appeal -- by a majority vote we are going to consider combing E and Q. You made attempt to give notice but it would be a majority vote. Will do at lower vote count.

DEBATE AS TO COMBINE PROPOSAL E AND Q

HARLOS: Yes Vote would combine E and Q. No Vote would be not to combine.

ARROWWOOD	NO		
BRACCO	NO		
CIESIELSKI	NO		
HARLOS	ABSTAIN		
LATHAM	ABSTAIN		
MARTIN	NO		
MOULTON	NO		
ROWLETTE	NO		
RUFO	ABSTAIN		
SEEBECK	YES	FAILED	VOTE 1-6-3

ROWLETTE: Like to put proviso on H. Would prefer to knock it out now. It would take effect upon final adjournment at convention of which it is adopted or take it up later, sine die.

DEBATE AS TO PROVISIO ON H

HARLOS: Going to rule to add later. You can challenge that. Rule we not deal with this until to where we will place H.

ROWLETTE: Withdraw.

HARLOS: Propose we move whether to cut G, CC and HH. Each has one objective. Do one at a time. If deleted, will go into a convention report. First on cutting block is G, clarify appellate rules of procedure.

DEBATE AS TO CUT G

HARLOS: Yes Vote would be to cut G. No Vote would be to retain.

ARROWWOOD	YES	
BRACCO	YES	
CIESIELSKI	YES	
HARLOS	ABSTAIN	
LATHAM	NO	
MARTIN	YES	
MOULTON	YES	
ROWLETTE	YES	
RUFO	YES	
SEEBECK	NO	G CUT VOTE 7-2-1

HARLOS: CC, clean up status language. Any debate to cut CC and pass it on? (No Response) Is there any objection to cutting and passing on CC? (No Response) **CC CUT WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

Any objection to cutting HH which is limit minority reports?

DEBATE TO CUT HH

HARLOS: Any debate on HH which is limiting minority reports? (No Response) That is cut. **HH CUT WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

HARLOS: Five have two objectives, Q, T, BB, KK, PP. First up is Q, protect preamble. ROWLETTE and SEEBECK were in favor of keeping. Give them priority. Anyone else want to debate keeping those in?

DEBATE AS TO Q

HARLOS: Whenever we cut something it will go into the post convention report. Will not repeat each time. Yes Vote is to cut. No Vote is to retain.

ARROWWOOD	YES	
BRACCO	YES	
CIESIELSKI	YES	
HARLOS	ABSTAIN	
LATHAM	YES	
MARTIN	YES	
MOULTON	YES	
ROWLETTE	YES	
RUFO	YES	
SEEBECK	NO	Q CUT VOTE 8-1-1

HARLOS: We are cutting Q. Next is Proposal T, clean up committee proposals. Same two objectors will go first and then anyone else who wants to debate.

DEBATE TO CUT T

HARLOS: Is there any objection to striking Proposal T and sending it on to next committee for consideration? (No Response) T is gone. **T CUT WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

BB, time limit on LNC appeals.

DEBATE BB

HARLOS: Any objection to striking BB? (No Response) **BB IS CUT** and going on to next committee.

KK resignations.

DEBATE KK

HARLOS: Any objection to KK being gone but it's in reserve? (No Response) **KK IN RESERVE.**

PP National Delegate qualifications.

DEBATE PP

HARLOS: What do you think about yellowing this? Keep as potential filler? Ask: is there an objection to yellowing this one, PP? (No Response) **PP is YELLOWED WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

Would like to get surveys out relatively soon. If we hit 18, send out three surveys with six proposals each. Eager to get first six ready. Best to have consensus.

BRACCO: Let's consider moving TT to the Bylaws after-committee. Motion on floor to pass TT forward. Yellows still up in air because committee not yet given chair authority on those. Motion on floor is TT. Also support the three-threes.

DEBATE TT

HARLOS: Motion to move TT to yellow. Any objection? (No Response) **TT TO YELLOW WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

Dealing with three-threes. A is chair ascension procedure.

DEBATE A

BRACCO: Move to postpone A until the end. Postpone until after all other three-threes.

HARLOS: Any objection? (No Response) **POSTPONE A TO LAST THREE-THREE WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

Change token threshold I.

DEBATE I

HARLOS: Any objection to cutting Proposal I? (No Response) **I CUT WITHOUT OBJECTION.** Change Delegate allocation formula is L.

DEBATE L

HARLOS: Yes Vote will move it to red. No Vote will keep where it is.

ARROWWOOD	NO		
BRACCO	NO		
CIESIELSKI	NO		
HARLOS	ABSTAIN		
LATHAM	ABSTAIN		
MARTIN	NO		
MOULTON	YES		
ROWLETTE	NO		
RUFO	NO		
SEEBECK	ABSTAIN	L NOT YELLOWED	VOTE 1-6-3

HARLOS: Next is N. Passed with AA. If we strike N, AA will go along with it. AA contains a proviso. Is there objection to yellowing N and AA? (No Response) **N AND AA YELLOWED WITHOUT OBJECTION.**

Next is U, clean up affiliation language.

DEBATE U

HARLOS: First vote, Yes Vote will yellow it. No Vote will not with understanding we will vote to move to a red vote. If you want to keep it, vote “no” on both of these.

ARROWWOOD	NO		
BRACCO	YES		
CIESIELSKI	YES		
HARLOS	ABSTAIN		
LATHAM	NO		
MARTIN	NO		
MOULTON	YES		
ROWLETTE	YES		
RUFO	ABSTAIN		
SEEBECK	YES	U YELLOWED	VOTE 5-3-2

SEE BECK: Call for orders of the day.

HARLOS: We have another five minutes. Called to order at 7:05 ET. Back to A.

DEBATE FUTHER A

HARLOS: Go to a vote on yellowing it. Then a vote on reding it. If they both pass, it’s whichever one has most yes votes. If one passes, it’s that one. If neither passes, we keep it. We will vote on yellowing it and then immediately vote on reding it. Yes Vote is to yellow. No Vote is not to yellow.

ARROWWOOD	YES
BRACCO	YES

CIESIELSKI	YES
HARLOS	NO
LATHAM	YES
MARTIN	NO
MOULTON	YES
ROWLETTE	YES
RUFO	YES
SEEBECK	YES

A YELLOWED VOTE 8-2-0

HARLOS: Moving to red. Yes is to red. No is not to red.

ARROWWOOD	YES
BRACCO	NO
CIESIELSKI	YES
HARLOS	ABSTAIN
LATHAM	YES
MARTIN	NO
MOULTON	YES
ROWLETTE	YES
RUFO	YES
SEEBECK	YES

ADOPTED VOTE 7-2-0

SEEBECK: Raise a point of order. You can't do that. One motion cannot depend on another.

DEBATE ON POINT OF ORDER

HARLOS: Need a motion.

LATHAM: Move to cut red.

HARLOS: That is what we will vote on. Yes Vote will make it red. No Vote will keep it in. Yes Vote will cut it, red. No Vote will keep it in.

ARROWWOOD	YES
BRACCO	NO
CIESIELSKI	YES
HARLOS	ABSTAIN
LATHAM	YES
MARTIN	YES
MOULTON	YES
ROWLETTE	YES
RUFO	NO
SEEBECK	YES

A TO BE CUT VOTE 7-2-1

HARLOS: So A is cut. We are at time unless there is a motion to extend time. Have a tiny bit of housekeeping to do. Is there a motion to extend time? (No Response) We are meeting in two weeks

unless someone wants to make motion to meet next week? (No Response) We will meet in two weeks. You will receive an updated list of ordering. Will get rid of all reds and stick yellows in a separate document and adjust ordering accordingly. No motion to meet next week. Will meet in two weeks. Adjourned at 11:11 PM ET.

DRAFT COPY ONLY 2-8-24 AT 12:49 PM 2-10-24 at 12:03 PM *